Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Microchemical Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/microc

Determination of the total mercury in contaminated soils by direct solid sampling atomic absorption spectrometry using an AMA-254 device and radiochemical neutron activation analysis



Jiřina Sysalová ^{a,*}, Jan Kučera ^b, Marek Fikrle ^b, Barbora Drtinová ^c

^a Institute of Chemical Technology, AAS Laboratory, Technická 5, CZ-166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic

^b Nuclear Physics Institute ASCR, CZ-250 68 Husinec-Řež 130, Czech Republic

^c Czech Technical University, Faculty of Nuclear Science and Physical Engineering, Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Břehová 7, CZ-115 19 Prague 1, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 June 2013 Received in revised form 12 August 2013 Accepted 13 August 2013 Available online 24 August 2013

Keywords: Mercury Contaminated soils AMA-254 RNAA

ABSTRACT

High total mercury (T-Hg) contents in soils, up to 25 mg kg⁻¹, were determined by two independent methods: a one-purpose atomic absorption spectrometer AMA-254 (Advanced Mercury Analyser) with direct solid sampling and radiochemical neutron activation analysis (RNAA), using sample masses of 10 mg to 25 mg and about 150 mg, respectively. An excellent agreement between results of both methods was obtained. For quality control (QC) purposes, NIST SRM 2711 Montana Soil and NIST SRM 2711a were analyzed by both methods using the above sample masses. The results obtained compared with the NIST certified values within the uncertainty margins, thus proving the accuracy of the procedures employed. A new mercury value of 1.42 mg kg⁻¹ \pm 0.12 mg kg⁻¹ was determined in NIST SRM 1648a Urban Particulate Matter by RNAA. For achieving accurate results by the AMA-254 spectrometer, optimizing of the analytical procedure was necessary, consisting of analyzing small (10 mg to 25 mg) sample masses. It has been found that the cryogenic grinding used provided sufficiently representative and homogeneous samples. In view of the decomposition procedures employed in AMA-254 and RNAA procedures, it can be inferred that the mercury contained in QC samples was presumably bound in an organic fraction. A test in which HgS was analyzed by RNAA showed that even mercury present in sulfide form would be fully recovered using the procedures employed.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Mercury exists in the environment in a variety of chemical and physical forms as a result of both natural and man-made releases. The chemical forms involve elemental mercury, inorganic mercury species, and organic mercury species, which exhibit different toxicities for organisms, methylmercury being the most toxic species. Therefore, increased attention has recently been paid to the determination of Hg species in environmental and biological samples [1-3]. Nevertheless, reliable procedures for the determination of T-Hg are still in demand, namely in pollution monitoring, in order to evaluate the efficiency of extraction methods used for speciation analysis, and subsequently in many important decisions. Such procedures usually involve sample digestion as the first step. For soils, various reagents were used, namely concentrated acids, e.g., HNO₃ alone [4,5] or in a mixture with H_2SO_4 [6] or HCl [7–9]. The American Society of Agronomy has proposed the use of a microwave digestion method with a mixture of $H_2SO_4 + HNO_3 + HCl$ [10], while a combination of $HNO_3 + HCl$ was proposed by the U.S.

0026-265X/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2013.08.004 EPA [11]. The International Atomic Energy Agency proposed a method based on oxidative digestion that employs a mixture of concentrated $HNO_3 + H_2SO_4 + BrCl$ [12]. All these reagents and many others, including aqua regia extractions and the use of HF were reviewed in detail by Issaro et al. [13] and were also mentioned in a paper describing the preparation and characterization of a soil reference material from a mercury contaminated site [14]. Following one of the above digestion procedures, the determination of T-Hg in soils and sediments is usually carried out by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CV AAS) or cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry (CV AFS) measurement [14,15]. Nowadays, procedures for fast, direct solid sampling AAS are available, which are compliant to EPA 7473 and ASTM D6722 methods, using AMA-254 (Leco, USA) or DMA-80 (Milestone, USA) devices. Solid sampling-graphite furnace AAS has also been developed [16], which can also be transformed into a screening method.

We present a simple direct solid sampling atomic absorption spectrometry method using an AMA-254 device for the determination of T-Hg in soils highly contaminated from different pollution sources. This method is fast and much more effective compared with those using a digestion step followed by CV AAS or CV AFS. Excellent accuracy of the T-Hg determination at the level up to 25 mg kg⁻¹ using AMA-254 was demonstrated by analysis of several US NIST soil standard



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: + 420 220 443 813. E-mail address: jirina.sysalova@vscht.cz (J. Sysalová).

reference materials (SRMs) and by a comparison with results of RNAA achieved for the same contaminated soil samples and NIST SRMs.

2. Experimental

2.1. Sampling and sample treatment

Samples of contaminated soils from two localities with different pollution sources were analyzed. The first site was an abandoned waste storage ground of a former incineration plant in the suburb of Hradec Králové, Czech Republic, in which agricultural waste, such as fertilizers, preservatives, pesticides, industrial and chemical industry wastes, such as oil, organic solvents, metals, halogens, sulfur, dyes, etc., were incinerated between the years 1993 and 2002. The hazardous waste was stored prior to incineration in a depository without protection and leakage control during the operational period of the incinerator. The Hg content in several soil samples from the former waste depository exceeded the maximum permissible limit of 0.8 mg kg⁻¹ [17] and in separate experiments Hg⁰ and methyl mercury CH₃Hg⁺ were identified as the dominant species there. The second sampling site was selected in the vicinity of a former phenyl mercury chloridebased fungicide production plant next to Příbram, Czech Republic. Although the use of mercury-based fungicides was discontinued at the end of 1980s, the highly elevated Hg contents in soil are still observed close to the plant, reaching up to 10 mg kg⁻¹. Similarly, even four mercury species were detected in this sampling site: Hg⁰, inorganic mercury Hg²⁺, methyl mercury CH₃Hg⁺ and phenyl mercury PhHg⁺ (to be published). Soil pollution with lead, arsenic, cadmium and zinc also occurs in this location due to mining and smelting industry and due to a high content of these elements in the parent rock [18].

The soil samples were collected from the upper layer (0–20 cm), air-dried at laboratory temperature (22–24 °C), sieved through a nylon 2-mm screen and 5-g sample portions were further subjected to cryogenic grinding at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) using a SPEX 6770 Freezer Mill (SPEX SamplePrep, USA) to achieve a degree of homogeneity as high as possible. Cryogenic grinding provides not only perfect sample disintegration, but also prevents possible losses of volatile mercury forms by warming up of samples in common planetary ball mill devices. Three samples from each locality were selected for analysis.

Control samples of NIST SRMs were analyzed without any treatment, in the "as received" state. Moisture content was determined on nonanalyzed aliquots according to instructions in the respective certificates [19–21] and accounted for.

2.2. Determination of T-Hg with AMA-254

The advanced mercury analyzer AMA-254, originally developed by Altec, Ltd., Czech Republic as an advanced version of Trace Mercury analyzer TMA-254 [22], is a single-purpose atomic absorption spectrometer for determination of mercury traces in various solids and liquids without sample pre-treatment or pre-concentration. A sample is combusted in an oxygen-rich atmosphere (99.5%) and the evolved gasses are then transported *via* an oxygen carrier gas through specific catalytic compounds (to remove interfering impurities, *i.e.*, ash, moisture, halogens, and minerals) to a Au-plated ceramic (an amalgamator), which collects the mercury in vapor. The amalgamator is then heated up to ~700 °C to release mercury to the detection system, which contains the Hg-specific lamp, emitting the light at a wavelength of 253.7 nm. A silicon UV diode detector is used for mercury quantification. The working range is between 0.05 ng and 500 ng.

Samples of contaminated soils and quality control materials with masses of 10 mg to 25 mg were inserted into the AMA-254 spectrometer in a nickel boat, dried at 120 °C for 70 s, combusted in the oxygen atmosphere at 650 °C for 150 s and after 45 s of waiting (the time needed for cleaning of the system) the next sample was introduced. For

quantification, a mercury reference standard solution 1000 mg L⁻¹ \pm 10 mg L⁻¹ as Hg²⁺ in dilute nitric acid (Hach Lange, Ltd, Ireland) was used to prepare aqueous calibration solutions (in the range of 0–200 µg L⁻¹) using deionized water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹ (Millipore, USA). Each calibration solution contained 1% (v/v) HNO₃, 0.1% (v/v) HCl (Suprapur, Merck, Germany) and mercury-free 0.01% (m/v) K₂Cr₂O₇ (Merck, Germany). Of these solutions, 100 µL aliquots were used for calibration. The same volume was used for blank evaluation. All glassware was soaked with 15% (v/v) HNO₃ for 48 h and rinsed several times with deionized water before use.

2.3. Determination of Hg with RNAA

A slightly modified procedure described earlier [23] was used. Briefly, samples of contaminated soils and quality control materials with masses of 100-150 mg were sealed in quartz glass ampoules (Suprasil®310, Heraeus, Germany), which were pre-cleaned by washing in dilute subboiled HNO₃ and deionized water (1 + 5). The samples were irradiated in the LVR-15 reactor in Řež at a thermal neutron fluence rate of $3 \cdot 10^{13}$ cm⁻² s⁻¹ for 10 h within the CANAM infrastructure (Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic project No. LM2011019). For irradiation, each batch contained 4-5 soil samples, a blank ampoule, an Hg standard for relative standardization, and 2 quality control samples. After 2 to 3 weeks of decay time, the ampoules were cleaned in boiling aqua regia, washed with water, cooled in liquid nitrogen and crushed. After addition of 100 µg of inactive Hg carrier, the samples (together with the quartz glass splinters) were decomposed in 5 mL of concentrated HNO₃ in a microwave heated Teflon vessel under elevated pressure in an ERTEC® Magnum II (Poland) device. The quartz glass splinters and a non-decomposed mineral fraction of the samples were separated by filtration over a piece of glass wool in a glass tube, thoroughly washed first with dilute HNO_3 (1 + 5), then by distilled water, and the resulting solution was made to 80 mL with water. Radiochemical separation of ²⁰³Hg was carried out by extraction with two portions (10 + 5 mL) of 0.01 mol L⁻¹ nickel diethyl dithiocarbamate (Ni(DDC)₂) in chloroform from dilute HNO₃ (approximately 1 mol L^{-1}). The separated 15 mL Ni(DDC)₂ fractions were counted in 30-mL polyethylene vials for 2 h with an coaxial HPGe detector (relative efficiency 78%, FWHM resolution of 1.8 keV, both for the 1332.5 keV gamma line of ⁶⁰Co) coupled to a computer controlled Canberra Genie 2000 gamma-spectrometer (Canberra, USA). The 279.2 keV gamma-line of ²⁰³Hg was used for Hg quantification by comparison with that of an Hg standard. The Hg standard was prepared by dissolution of metallic mercury in concentrated HNO₃ under reflux. From a stock solution with the mercury concentration of 6.575 mg L⁻¹ \pm 0.033 mg L⁻¹ in dilute HNO₃ (1:10) a 100 μ L aliquot was deposited in a quartz ampoule and sealed. After irradiation, the mercury standard was carefully washed out from the ampoule, diluted 1:10 in a volumetric flask and a 250 µL aliquot, which contained 16.44 ng \pm 0.13 ng of Hg was made to 15 mL for gamma-spectrometry measurement. The mercury separation yield was $99.4\% \pm 0.5\%$ $(x \pm \text{s.d.}, N = 3)$ as determined in model experiments by spiking non-irradiated soil samples with the ²⁰³Hg radiotracer. To three 100 µL aliquots of the mercury stock solution, the same volume of a saturated water solution of thioacetamide was added into the quartz ampoules, which were sealed, and the ampoules were warmed up in a water bath until HgS precipitated.

3. Results and discussion

Results of the T-Hg determination in contaminated soils achieved by two independent methods, AMA-254 and RNAA, are compared in Table 1. Three replicates were analyzed by the former technique, while a single aliquot was assayed by the latter technique and the combined uncertainty was evaluated by taking into account all important uncertainty sources. An excellent agreement of the AMA-254 and

Table 1 Hg contents in contaminated soils determined by AMA-254 and RNAA, in mg kg $^{-1}\!.$

:	Sample	AMA-254		RNAA	
		Sample mass, mg	$x \pm s (N = 3)$	Sample mass, mg	$x \pm u^a$
]	Hradec Králové F5A	~20	2.42 ± 0.22	~150	2.48 ± 0.08
]	Hradec Králové F5D	~10	24.5 ± 0.8	~150	25.3 ± 0.8
]	Hradec Králové F5E	~20	11.5 ± 1.0	~150	12.2 ± 0.4
]	Příbram 1	~20	2.11 ± 0.03	~150	2.25 ± 0.07
]	Příbram 12	~20	4.17 ± 0.09	~150	4.55 ± 0.15
1	Příbram 15	~20	9.76 ± 0.003	~150	9.88 ± 0.32

^a Value \pm combined uncertainty (coverage factor k = 1).

RNAA results can be seen in Table 1. The agreeable results were obtained by analysis of rather low, up to 10-mg sample portions by AMA-254 and approximately 150-mg sample portions by RNAA. This means that sufficiently homogeneous and representative soil samples were prepared by cryogenic grinding, originally termed "brittle fracture technique" [24]. Table 2 shows results of the accuracy test, in which triplicates of NIST SRM 2711 Montana Soil [19] and NIST SRM 2711a Montana II Soil [20] were analyzed by both AMA-254 and RNAA methods.

Our values agree with the NIST certified values within the uncertainty margins, thus proving the accuracy of our results achieved by both methods. The excellent agreement of all results for contaminated soils and NIST SRMs 2711 and 2711a achieved by both AMA-254 and RNAA methods can be demonstrated by the equation of a regression line y = 0.9661x + 0.0184 with a regression coefficient $R^2 =$ 0.9993, where *x* and *y* stand for the Hg concentration determined by RNAA and AMA-254, respectively. Another proof of agreement of all eight pairs of results obtained by both methods is provided by Student's *t*-test, which yields $t = 0.075 < t_{crit}$ ($\nu = 14$, $\alpha = 0.95$) = 2.145. Noteworthy, the accuracy test of AMA-254 results was successfully carried out with about 10 times lower sample masses than the minimum sample intake given in the certificates for NIST SRM 2711 and 2711a [19,20]. This proves excellent homogeneity of these NIST SRMs for Hg.

Since there is no certified value of Hg in reference materials of air particulate matter, which are needed in air pollution studies, we also determined Hg in NIST SRM 1648a Urban Particulate Matter by RNAA. The value found of 1.42 mg kg⁻¹ \pm 0.12 mg kg⁻¹ appears similar to those of 1.07 mg kg⁻¹ \pm 0.10 mg kg⁻¹, 1.02 mg kg⁻¹ \pm 0.05 mg kg⁻¹ [25] and 1.16 mg kg⁻¹ \pm 0.18 mg kg⁻¹ [26] determined by other authors in a predecessor of this SRM, in NIST SRM 1648.

The AMA-254 spectrometer has been especially designed for determination of trace mercury concentrations. It provides a detection limit as low as 0.01 ng and its follows from the working range of the device that the maximum amount of mercury in a sample should not exceed 500 ng [27] due to a limited capacity of the amalgamator. The upper limit of the working range of a similar DMA-80 device is somewhat higher, namely 1.2 μ g [28]. Moreover, high mercury contents often cause a memory effect, which should be eliminated by repeated incorporation of a cleaning process and blank controls into a series of determinations. Even some interference could be observed due to high contents of several heavy metals or carbon in contaminated complex matrices. Various ways were recommended to overcome these problems, *e.g.*, a certain sample pre-treatment, which could be a potential source of errors (mercury contamination and/or loss), if the procedure is not tested carefully [29] or dilution of samples with a high mercury content with suitable analyte-free substances, such as quartz sand [30] or quartz powder [31]. We successfully solved the problem of accurate mercury determination by AMA-254 in highly contaminated soils by analyzing well homogenized, representative small sample masses (in the range of 10 mg–25 mg). The homogeneity degree can be inferred from the mean relative standard deviation of 4.5% (range 0.03% to 9.1%) of three replicate analyses performed with AMA-254. Further testing would be necessary to show that the homogeneity is fully substantiated at the sample masses used.

The agreement of AMA-254 and RNAA results for NIST SRMs 2711 and 2711a with the NIST certified values also suggest that mercury is bound in these materials in a fraction, which is decomposed by combustion and microwave assisted decomposition with HNO₃, respectively, *i.e.*, presumably in an organic fraction. The decomposition of precipitated HgS by the microwave assisted acid decomposition followed by RNAA yielded Hg recovery of $98.9\% \pm 0.4\%$ ($x \pm s.d.$, N = 3). This proves that mercury, if present as HgS, in the samples analyzed, is fully converted to the analytical signal by both procedures employed, in addition to that contained in the organic matrix. Since we have also found AMA-254 and RNAA results for the contaminated soils studied in agreement, we may safely presume the same mercury speciation in these samples as in the QC samples analyzed.

4. Conclusions

Simple, direct solid sampling atomic absorption spectrometry methods are in demand for T-Hg determination in environmental matrices. For this purpose, commercially available devices, such as AMA-254 or DMA-80 spectrometers are available. Since these devices have especially been designed for mercury determination at trace and ultratrace levels, it should carefully be examined that the upper limit of their working range (0.5 µg to 1.2 µg for AMA-254 and DMA-80, respectively) is not exceeded in analyzing samples with high mercury contents, because this would lead to negatively biased results. Unlike other authors, who employed the dilution of high contents of Hg in heavily polluted samples with an inert matrix [30,31], we used low masses (10 mg to 25 mg) of well homogenized, yet representative samples to comply with requirements for accurate Hg determination using AMA-254, i.e., not to exceed the upper limit of the working range of 500 ng of Hg. We have also found that NIST SRM 2711 Montana Soil and NIST SRM 2711a Montana II Soil are sufficiently homogeneous with respect to Hg to allow accuracy testing at the above low-mass sample intakes. The new T-Hg value determined in NIST SRM 1648a is of importance for quality control of air pollution studies.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the Czech Science Foundation for the financial support of projects P503/12/0682 and P108/12/G108.

Tal	bl	e 2	2
			_

Hg contents in NIST SRMs determined by AMA-254 and RNAA, in mg kg $^{-1}$.

NIST SRM	Sample mass (mg)	AMA-254 ^a	Sample mass (mg)	RNAA ^a	NIST value
2711 Montana Soil [19] 2711a Montana II Soil [20] 1648a Urban Particulate Matter [21]	~20 ~25 -	$\begin{array}{c} 6.18 \pm 0.41 \\ 7.19 \pm 0.25 \\ - \end{array}$	~150 ~150 ~100	$\begin{array}{c} 6.22 \pm 0.05 \\ 7.16 \pm 0.25 \\ 1.42 \pm 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.25 \pm 0.19 \\ 7.42 \pm 0.19 \\ - \end{array}$

^a Arithmetic mean \pm standard deviation (N = 3).

References

- L. Schmidt, C.A. Bizzi, F.A. Duarte, V.L. Gressler, E.M.M. Flores, Evaluation of drying conditions of fish tissues for inorganic mercury and methylmercury speciation analysis, Microchem. J. 108 (2013) 53–59.
- [2] M. Leermakers, W. Baeyens, P. Quevauviller, M. Horvat, Mercury in environmental samples: speciation, artifacts and validation, Trends Anal. Chem. 24 (2005) 383–393.
- [3] S. Díez, J.M. Bayona, Determination of Hg and organomercury species following SPME-a review, Talanta 77 (2008) 21–27.
- [4] G.M.M. Rahman, H.M.S. Kingston, Development of a microwave assisted extraction method and isotopic validation of mercury species in soils and sediments, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 20 (2005) 183–191.
- [5] R. Bargagli, F. Monaci, C. Bucci, Environmental biogeochemistry of mercury in Antarctic ecosystems, Soil Biol. Biochem. 39 (2007) 352–360.
- [6] M. Mailman, R.A. Bodaly, Total mercury, methyl mercury, and carbon in fresh and burnt plants and soil in Northwestern Ontario, Environ. Pollut. 138 (2005) 161–166.
- [7] N.S. Bloom, E. Preus, J. Katon, M. Hiltner, Selective extractions to assess the biogeochemically relevant fractionation of inorganic mercury in sediments and soils, Anal. Chim. Acta 479 (2003) 233–248.
- [8] J.D. Appleton, J.M. Weeks, J.P.S. Calvez, C. Beinhoff, Impacts of mercury contaminated mining waste on soil quality, crops, bivalves, and fish in the Naboc River area, Mindanao, Philippines, Sci. Total Environ. 354 (2006) 198–211.
- [9] J.J.B. Nevado, R.C.R. Martin-Doimeadios, F.J.G. Bernardo, M.J. Moreno, Determination of monomethylmercury in low- and high-polluted sediments by microwave extraction and gas chromatography with atomic fluorescence detection, Anal. Chim. Acta 608 (2008) 30–37.
- [10] J.W.B. Stewart, J.R. Bettany, in: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller, D.R. Keeney (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, American Society of Agronomy (ASA), Soil Science Society of America (SSSA), Madison, WI, 1982, p. 367.
- [11] US Environmental Protection Agency, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, SW 846, 3rd ed., vol.1, US EPA, Washington, DC, 1986. (Sec. A, Method 7471).
- [12] UNEP/IAEA, Determination of total mercury in marine sediments and suspended soils by cold vapour atomic absorption spectrometry, Reference Methods for Marine Pollution Studies No.26, United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, 1985.
- [13] N. Issaro, C. Abi-Ghanem, A. Bermond, Fractionation studies of mercury in soils and sediments—a review of the chemical reagents used for mercury extraction, Anal. Chim. Acta 631 (2009) 1–12.
- [14] D. Kocman, N.S. Bloom, H. Akagi, K. Telmer, L. Hylander, V. Fajon, V. Jereb, R. Jačimović, B. Smodiš, J.R. Ikingura, M. Horbat, Preparation and characterization of a soil reference material from a mercury contaminated site for comparability studies, J. Environ. Manag. 81 (2006) 146–154.
- [15] M. Morita, J. Yoshinaga, J.S. Edmons, The determination of mercury species in environmental and biological samples, Pure Appl. Chem. 70 (1998) 1585–1615.

- [16] M. Resano, E. Garcia-Ruiz, M. Aramendia, M.A. Belarra, Solid sampling-graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry for Hg monitoring in soils. Performance as a quantitative and as a screening method, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 20 (2005) 1374–1380.
- [17] L. Kacálková, P. Tlustoš, J. Száková, Phytoextraction of cadmium, copper, zinc and mercury by selected plants, Plant Soil Environ. 55 (2009) 295–304.
- [18] K. Šichorová, P. Tlustoš, J. Száková, K. Kořínek, J. Balík, Horizontal and vertical variability of heavy metals in the soil of a polluted area, Plant Soil Environ. 50 (2004) 525–534.
- [19] US NIST Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material® 2711 Montana Soil, Moderately Elevated Trace Element Concentrations, Gaithersburg MD 20899, 2003.
- [20] US NIST Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material® 2711a Montana II Soil, Moderately Elevated Trace Element Concentrations, Gaithersburg MD 20899, 2009.
 [21] US NIST Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material® 1648a Urban Particu-
- [21] US NIST Certificate of Analysis, Standard Reference Material® 1648a Urban Particulate Matter, Gaithersburg MD 20899, 2012.
- [22] J. Száková, D. Kolihová, D. Miholová, P. Mader, Single-purpose atomic absorption spectrometer AMA-254 for mercury determination and its performance in analysis of agricultural and environmental materials, Chem. Pap. 58 (2004) 311–315.
- [23] J. Kučera, L. Soukal, Determination of As, Cd, Cu, Hg, Mo, Sb, and Se in biological reference materials by radiochemical neutron activation analysis, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 168 (1993) 185–199.
- [24] G.V. Iyengar, K. Kasperek, Application of the brittle fracture technique to homogenise biological samples and some observations regarding the distribution behaviour of the trace elements at different concentration levels in a biological matrix, J. Radioanal. Chem. 39 (1977) 301–308.
- [25] J.Y. Lu, W.H. Schroeder, Sampling and determination of particulate mercury in ambient air–a review, Water Air Soil Pollut. 112 (1999) 279–295.
- [26] R.G.O. Araujo, F. Vignola, I.N.B. Castilho, D.L.G. Borges, B. Welz, M.G.R. Vale, P. Smichowski, S.L.C. Ferreira, H. Becker-Ross, Spectrochim. Acta B 60 (2011) 378–382.
- [27] J. Komínková, Atomic Absorption Spectrometry—Hg Determination Using AMA-254, Institute of Chemical Technology Prague, Department of Analytical Chemistry, 2010. 3 (in Czech).
- [28] DMA-80 Technical Specification, Milestone, http://www.milestonesci.com/productmenu/mercury/dma80-overview/mercury-tech-specs.html 2013.
- [29] F.V.M. Pontes, M.C. Carneiro, D.S. Vaitsman, M.I.C. Monteiro, A.A. Neto, E.M.F. De Souza, M.L.B. Tristao, A new on-line version of the gold amalgamation trap for interference-free determination of Hg⁰ in crude oil and related products by Zeeman CV-AAS, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 27 (2012) 1794–1798.
- [30] F. Taube, L. Pommer, T. Larsson, A. Shchukarev, A. Nordin, Soil remediation-mercury speciation in soil and vapor phase during thermal treatment, Water Air Soil Pollut. 193 (2008) 155–163.
- [31] M. Hojdová, T. Navrátil, J. Rohovec, V. Penížek, T. Grygar, Mercury distribution and speciation in soils affected by historic mercury mining, Water Air Soil Pollut. 200 (2009) 89–99.